# MATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century



Jan van Huysum Dutch, 1682 - 1749

# Flowers in an Urn

c. 1720/1722

oil on panel

overall: 79.9 x 60 cm (31 7/16 x 23 5/8 in.)

framed: 99.7 x 80.6 x 8.2 cm (39 1/4 x 31 3/4 x 3 1/4 in.)

Inscription: lower left on front of marble tabletop: Jan Van Huysum fecit

Adolph Caspar Miller Fund 1977.7.1

#### **ENTRY**

Situated as they are against a pale greenish-ocher background, the subtle colors and organic rhythms of Van Huysum's exuberant floral display create an elegant ensemble. The poppy, morning glory, and ranunculus tendrils that weave in and out of the densely massed rose, vinca, carnation, iris, and tulip blossoms carry the eye throughout the bouquet, so that the viewer takes in the entire arrangement without focusing unduly upon any individual blossom. The image's decorative character is further enhanced by the terra-cotta vase embellished with playful cupids and the precariously perched nest containing three pale blue eggs.

Van Huysum is known to have studied with his father, Justus van Huysum (1659–1716), yet in this work the primary artistic inspiration must have been that of Jan Davidsz de Heem (Dutch, 1606 - 1684). De Heem, whose realistic depictions of natural forms were greatly admired in the early eighteenth century,[1] similarly organized his flower bouquets with sweeping rhythms that draw the eye in a circular pattern throughout the composition (see *Vase of Flowers*). His remarkable painting techniques allowed him to create illusionistic images of individual blossoms. De Heem's complex bouquets included flowers that would never be in bloom at the same time, for example, tulips and morning glories, and Van Huysum likewise took pleasure in including such improbable combinations in his paintings. Perhaps following De Heem's lead, Van Huysum similarly depicted both tightly formed blossoms at the height of their beauty and tulips with wide opened petals, indicative of flowers past their prime. Moreover, like De Heem, Van Huysum enlivened his scenes with insects of various types, such as small ants crawling on (and occasionally eating) petals and butterflies alighting on the uppermost

## œ

#### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

blossoms. Finally, whether as an artistic conceit or to emphasize the freshness of the bouquet, both artists delighted in depicting dewdrops gathered on the green leaves. The water droplets act as a foil to the delicate colors and shapes of the blossoms and also add small accents of light that further activate the compositions.

Despite the similarities in concept apparent in the works of these two men, great differences also exist. De Heem preferred a dark background against which he could contrast the whites and vibrant colors of his bouquet and concentrate the energy of his composition. Van Huysum, by contrast, chose later in his career to use backgrounds with a light tonality so that he could create a more delicate and, ultimately, more decorative image. De Heem often included nonfloral elements, such as stalks of grain and bean pods, that were instrumental in conveying an underlying religious meaning for his paintings. Van Huysum, on the other hand, does not appear to have chosen specific types of plants for their symbolic associations. Rather, he seems to have designed floral arrangements to suggest both the richness and fertility of nature and, through allusions to the cycle of life, the transience of earthly existence.

The chronological evolution of Van Huysum's style is difficult to determine because of the relative paucity of dated still lifes. This work, however, with its light background, must date shortly after *Still Life with Flowers and Fruit* and belong to the early part of Van Huysum's mature phase, which began around 1720. During the early 1720s he tended to place his flowers in terra-cotta vases decorated with playful putti fashioned after the relief sculptures of François Duquesnoy.[2] This work is more lyrically composed than his early flower still life in Karlsruhe dated 1714 [fig. 1], but is not as complex as, for example, the asymmetrically conceived *Bouquet of Flowers in an Urn*, 1724, at the Los Angeles County Museum of Art [fig. 2]. Other characteristics of the somewhat later style of the Los Angeles painting are the ornately shaped stone ledge and the outdoor setting.[3]

With his technical virtuosity and precise observations of flowers and fruit, Van Huysum was able to convey both the varied rhythms of a striped tulip's petal and the glistening sheen of its variegated surface. Just how he achieved these effects has never been precisely determined because he was a secretive artist who hid his artistic techniques from others. Nevertheless, it would seem that his paintings combine blossoms rendered from life and those derived from drawn models. In a letter to a patron in 1742, Van Huysum complained that he could not complete a still life that included a yellow rose until the flower blossomed the following spring.[4] The rose in question was presumably similar to the hybrid known as

Flowers in an Urn

### **©** NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

Rosa huysumiana seen in the left center of this painting. Indeed, certain of his paintings carry two dates from consecutive years.[5] While it is not known whether Van Huysum painted this work over an extended period of time, pentimenti, particularly near the poppies at the top of the bouquet, indicate that he made significant changes in the arrangement of these compositional elements.

Arthur K. Wheelock Jr.

April 24, 2014

Flowers in an Urn 3

# œ

#### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

#### **COMPARATIVE FIGURES**



**fig. 1** Jan van Huysum, *Floral Still Lif*e, 1714, oil on panel, Staatliche Kunsthalle, Karlsruhe. © Staatliche Kunsthalle Karlsruhe 2010. Photo: W. Pankoke



**fig. 2** Jan van Huysum, *Bouquet of Flowers in an Urn*, 1724, oil on panel, Los Angeles County Museum of Art, Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Edward William Carter

#### **NOTES**

- [1] See Arnold Houbraken, De groote schouburgh der Nederlantsche konstschilders en schilderessen, 3 vols. (The Hague, 1753; reprint, Amsterdam, 1980), 1:209–211.
- [2] For Duquesnoy's relief sculpture, see Mariette Fransolet, *François du Quesnoy: Sculpteur d'Urbain VIII, 1597–1643* (Brussels, 1942). John Walsh Jr. and Cynthia P. Schneider, in *A Mirror of Nature: Dutch Paintings from the Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Edward William Carter* (Los Angeles, 1981), 66, believe that although the figures were inspired by Duquesnoy, the vases were actually designed by Van Huysum himself.
- [3] John Walsh Jr. and Cynthia P. Schneider, in A Mirror of Nature: Dutch Paintings from the Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Edward William Carter (Los Angeles, 1981), 66 n. 9, have determined that the first dated painting by Van Huysum with an outdoor background is from 1720.
- [4] Friedrich Schlie, "Sieben Briefe und eine Quittung von Jan van Huijsum," *Oud-Holland* 18, no. 3 (1900): 141. The letter, dated July 17, 1742, was written to A. N. van Haften, agent for the Duke of Mecklenburg.
- [5] Maurice Harold Grant, Jan van Huysum, 1682–1749 (Leigh-on-Sea, 1954),no. 19, Vase of Flowers, 1723/1724; no. 162, Fruits and Flowers, 1732/1733.

#### **TECHNICAL SUMMARY**

The wood support panel, a single vertically grained plank, has been thinned and cradled. A small vertical check in the top edge, left of center, has been repaired.

## œ

#### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

Thin paint layers have been applied over a smooth, thin white ground. The paint is of a medium paste consistency with slight impasto in the light passages. The background is composed of two layers, and the artist made numerous changes in the composition, which are visible through the slightly translucent paint. Scattered small losses of paint and ground are located throughout the composition. The painting has not undergone conservation treatment at the National Gallery of Art.

#### **PROVENANCE**

(Jacques Goudstikker, Amsterdam), by 1919 until at least 1920. Vas Diag, before 1924; (Leggatt Brothers, London); acquired 21 July 1924 by Lord Claud Hamilton;[1] by inheritance to his widow, Lady Claud Hamilton; (sale, Christie, Manson & Woods, London, 28 November 1975, no. 23); (Alexander Gallery, London); purchased 18 February 1977 by NGA.

[1] The provenance from Vas Diag to Lord Hamilton is given in a letter from Charles Leggatt to Arthur Wheelock, 31 December 1982, in NGA curatorial files. Records of the Leggatt Brothers that might have provided more information about Diag and the purchase from him were destroyed in World War II. "Lord Claud Hamilton" could be one of several people; one possibility is that he was Lord Claud Nigel Hamilton (1889–1975), whose widow (she died 1984) was born Violet Ruby Ashton, and was earlier Mrs. Keith W. Newall.

#### **EXHIBITION HISTORY**

1919 Collection Goudstikker d'Amsterdam, Pulchri Studio, The Hague, 1919, no. 13.

1920 Collection Goudstikker d'Amsterdam, Kunstkring, Rotterdam, 1920, no. 24.

1976 Spring Exhibition, Alexander Gallery, London, 1976.

1997 Rembrandt and the Golden Age: Dutch Paintings from the National Gallery of Art, The Chrysler Museum of Art, Norfolk, 1997, unnumbered brochure, repro.

### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART ONLINE EDITIONS

Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century

1998 The Age of Opulence: Arts of the Baroque, Oklahoma City Art Museum, 1998-1999, brochure, repro.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

2007

1919	Goudstikker, Jacques. Catalogue de la collection Goudstikker
	d'Amsterdam. Exh. cat. Pulchri Studio, The Hague. Haarlem, 1919: no. 13.
1920	Goudstikker, Jacques. Catalogue de la collection Goudstikker
	$\textit{d'Amsterdam}. \ Exh. \ cat. \ Rotterdam sche \ Kunstkring. \ Rotterdam, 1920: \ no.$
	24.
1954	Grant, Maurice Harold. Jan van Huysum, 1682–1749. Leigh-on-Sea,
	1954: no. 3.
1985	National Gallery of Art. European Paintings: An Illustrated Catalogue.
	Washington, 1985: 208, repro.
1995	Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century.
	The Collections of the National Gallery of Art Systematic Catalogue.
	Washington, 1995: 144-145, color repro. 143.
1997	Chrysler Museum of Art. Rembrandt and the Golden Age: Dutch
	paintings from the National Gallery of Art. Exh. brochure. Chrysler
	Museum of Art, Norfolk. Washington, 1997: unnumbered repro.
1998	Oklahoma City Art Museum. The Age of Opulence: Arts of the Baroque.
	Exh. brochure. Oklahoma City Art Museum, Oklahoma City, 1998:
	unnumbered repro.
2006	Segal, Sam, Mariël Ellens, and Joris Dik. De verleiding van Flora: Jan

van Huysum, 1682-1749. Exh. cat. Museum het Prinsenhof, Delft;

Segal, Sam. The Temptations of Flora: Jan van Huysum, 1682-1749. Translated by Beverly Jackson. Exh. cat. Museum Het Prinsenhof, Delft;

Museum of Fine Arts, Houston. Zwolle, 2006: 173, repro.

Museum of Fine Arts, Houston. Zwolle, 2007: 173, repro.

To cite: Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., "Jan van Huysum/Flowers in an Urn/c. 1720/1722," Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century, NGA Online Editions, http://purl.org/nga/collection/artobject/56255 (accessed April 24, 2014).