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## 1. GEOGRAPHICAL SPREAD OF SCHOOLS IN TUVALU



## 2. DEFINITIONS

1. Apparent Intake Rate - $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Total number of new entrants in the first grade of primary } \\ & \text { education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of } \\ & \text { the population at the official primary school-entrance age. }\end{aligned}$
2. Net Intake Rate -
3. Gross Enrollment Rate - Total enrollment in a specific stage of education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the official school-age population corresponding to the same level of education in giving school-year.
4. Net Enrollment Rate - Enrolment of the official age-group for a given level of education expressed as a percentage of the corresponding population.
5. Teacher Pupil Rate

Pupil-teacher ratio. Primary is the number of pupils enrolled in primary school divided by the number of primary school teachers.
6. Completion rate-
7. Schools Indicators-
8. CPR-
9. NYEE -
10. TUSTA -
11. TJC -
12. SPFSC -
13. CTC-
14. TVET-
15. Lc-

Critical Underachievement
16. $\mathrm{L3}^{+}$late/early and/or repeat grades. data.

Classroom Pupil Ratio

National Year Eight Examination

Tuvalu Standardised Test Achievement

Tuvalu Junior Certificate

Community Trainning Centres

Satisfactory Achievement

Primary completion rate. Total is the total number of new entrants in the last grade of primary education, regardless of age, expressed as percentage of the total population of the theoretical entrance age to the last grade of primary. This indicator is also known as "gross intake rate to the last grade of primary." The ratio can exceed 100\% due to overaged and under-aged children who enter primary school

Ratios which are calculated using educational statistics and where necessary demographics, economics and other type of

South Pacific Form Seven School Certificate

Technical Vocational Education \& Traning.
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## 4. FOREWORD

The Education Department of Tuvalu has decided to publish education statistic book to the public on annual basis starting from this publication, 2012. This publication is the first attempt to share with the general public in a summary form how the education system is performing within the spectrum of ECCE, Primary and Secondary education. The indicators provide some useful infromation on the existing education system about "how we are doing". They may be seen as instrument with which we monitor progress, coverage, efficiency, effectiveness and problems of the system.

Any comments or observations on this first publication will be most welcome. I would like to express my sincere thanks to AusAid andUNICEF for working with us to make our system a very helpful tools to us in producing such publication a reality that is indeed very useful to plan our intervention to meet the aims of education in Tuvalu.


## 5. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to make available to all of those involved in the Tuvalu Education System a simple and easy to use source of essential data for keeping track of the development of the system. This information should also make it possible to check whether the evolution of the various indicators is compatible with the objectives set by the plan. In an initial stage a list of indicators was collected and approved by the Director of Education authorities.

In a second stage, the value of the indicators was calculated. Of the 20 indicators adopted, 9 were calculated for the publication. For the remainder, information is currently being collected through the annual survey among schools, so that they may be presented in the next publication.

If a document of this kind is to serve a useful role in monitoring the objectives of our strategic plan, it is important that it is published annually and that it provides the most recent available data. Accordingly, commitments have been entered into and the necessary organizational structures have been put together to facilitate the regular publication of the papers in the future.

In the formulation of the present document, there is only one source has been used:
Every information provided by this publication are all taken from the Tuvalu Education Management Information System (TEMIS). Information provided for teâchers and students were collected and clean before entering into Tuvalu Education Management Information System (TEMIS). This procedure has the advantages of giving a more precise picture of the school enrollment figure; of the 2011 survey that have been conducted in the year before 2011.
For purposes of clarification, a breakdown of indicators by source of information is given in the Indicator by Availability table.
Finally, a detailed summary of the Indicator by Rationale, Availability and by Source are also given.

## 6. SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

Table 1: National - Enrollment Summary, 2012

| ECCE |  | Primary |  | CTC |  | Secondary |  | VOC |  | Form7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| 361 | 301 | 925 | 870 | 32 | 14 | 255 | 345 | 24 | 21 | 33 | 53 |
| 662 |  | 1795 |  | 46 |  | 600 |  | 45 |  | 86 |  |
| 3234 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: National Classwise Enrollment, 2012

| Class | Boys | Girls | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | 111 | 92 | 203 |
| K2 | 112 | 108 | 220 |
| K3 | 138 | 101 | 239 |
| Yr 1 | 133 | 112 | 245 |
| Yr 2 | 130 | 115 | 245 |
| Yr 3 | 112 | 108 | 220 |
| Yr 4 | 97 | 106 | 203 |
| Yr 5 | 84 | 91 | 175 |
| Yr 6 | 96 | 117 | 213 |
| Yr 7 | 114 | 98 | 212 |
| Yr 8 | 159 | 123 | 282 |
| VOC 1 | 32 | 14 | 46 |
| Form3 | 87 | 95 | 182 |
| Form4 | 72 | 90 | 162 |
| VOC2 | 24 | 21 | 45 |
| Form5 | 38 | 65 | 103 |
| Form6 | 58 | 95 | 153 |
| Form7 | 33 | 53 | 86 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{1 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 3 4}$ |

Note:K1, K2 and K3 are the different classes in ECCE level where a student with K1 are those with the age of three years old, K2 with 4 years old and K3 with five years old.

Number of Students by Class, 2012


The absolute enumerate of pupils in different classes are not all the same as measured by the above
bar map. All these numbers will definitely reflect the numerousness of students register for this year 2013. In the Pre- School Level, we can comprehend that there are many boys than the girls andsimilarly in Primary. But in the Secondary just, a pregnant deviate to the number of boys and girls it seems that from Form 3 to Form 7 girls are more than boys. This try that during exams, girls always performed better than boys.

Table 3: National School wise Enrollment, 2012

| School | Boys | Girls | Total | School |  |  |  |  |  |  | Boys | Girls | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AOG Pre School | 15 | 14 | 29 | Niutao Pre School | 23 | 27 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaiaku Pre School | 29 | 18 | 47 | Afaga o Maumau Pre School | 10 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suesue Memorial Pre School | 21 | 29 | 50 | Hologa o Kautama Pre School | 16 | 14 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funafuti Pre School | 50 | 38 | 88 | Lotoalofa Primary School | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olave Orkey Pre School | 10 | 8 | 18 | Faikimua Primary School | 29 | 40 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fakaifou Pre School | 37 | 18 | 55 | Nauti Primary School | 375 | 376 | 751 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grace Pre School | 28 | 19 | 47 | SDA Primary School | 95 | 67 | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lofeagai Pre School | 6 | 11 | 17 | Tolise Primary School | 112 | 110 | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Niulakita Pre School | 0 | 3 | 3 | Tutasi Primary School | 59 | 73 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ulukoloa Pre School | 16 | 16 | 32 | Vaipuna Primary School | 71 | 71 | 142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaimele Pre School | 34 | 15 | 49 | Webley Primary School | 72 | 64 | 136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lasagafou Pre School | 2 | 5 | 7 | Kaumaile Primary School | 71 | 41 | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Punavai Pre School | 24 | 16 | 40 | Lotohoni Primary School | 70 | 39 | 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nukufetau Pre School | 22 | 25 | 47 | Motufoua Secondary School | 213 | 357 | 570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nanumaga Pre School | 18 | 17 | 35 | Fetuvalu Secondary School | 99 | 62 | 161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Funafuti Pre School got the largest number of pupils was enrolled in 2012 which is 88 whereas
Niulakita Pre School got the smallest of only 3 pupils. Funafuti Pre School is located in the capital of Tuvalu and that is why it very differ from the other schools. Niulakita Pre School is the very small school on the far south island of Tuvalu called Niulakita Island. There are few people staying there and they are from the island of Niutao. Vaitupu and Nanumea island are the islands beside Funafuti were running two preschools and they are Vaimele with 49 students \& Lasagafou with 7students on Vaitupu and Afaga o Maumau with 18 students \& Hologa o Kautama with 30 students on the island of Nanumea.


There are ten Primary altogether, nine of them are under the government control and one of them is a church school. From all the ten schools, Nauti Primary got the largest number of students in 2012 and Lotoalofa got few where only males and no female students in 2012 as indicated by the graph above.


Motufoua Secondary School is the only Secondary School in Tuvalu under the government and the Fetuvalu Secondary School is under the Ekalesia Kelisiano Tuvalu. From these two schools, we can readily see that there are many students in Motufoua than Fetuvalu. Motufoua Secondary School is located on the holm of Vaitupu whereas Fetuvalu is located in the main land of Tuvalu. They're 570 students enlist to Motufoua and 161 students inwrap for the Fetuvalu Secondary School.

Table 4: ECCE Centre Enrolment by Age, Gender and Year Level, 2012

| AGE | Gender | Class Level |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K1 | K2 | K3 |  |
| N/A | Boys | 13 | 4 | 4 | 21 |
|  | Girls | 16 | 3 | 5 | 24 |
|  | Total | 29 | 7 | 9 | 45 |
| 2 | Boys | 89 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
|  | Girls | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
|  | Total | 156 | 1 | 0 | 157 |
| 3 | Boys | 9 | 95 | 4 | 103 |
|  | Girls | 11 | 96 | 0 | 107 |
|  | Total | 20 | 191 | 4 | 215 |
| 4 | Boys | 0 | 10 | 128 | 138 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 10 | 101 | 111 |
|  | Total | 0 | 20 | 229 | 249 |
| 5 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 |



ECCE enrollment is disaggregated by age, gender [boys \& girls] and the total. This gives a true picture of the enrollment data in ECCE of those who suppose to be there in ECCE and those who do not. The number of males and females is gradually increase from age NA to age 4 and steadily decrease from age 4 to age 5.

Table 5: Primary Schools Enrolment by Age, Gender and Year Level, 2012

| AGE | Gender | Class Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yr 1 | Yr 2 | Yr 3 | Yr 4 | Yr 5 | Yr 6 | Yr 7 | Yr 8 |  |
| N/A | Boys | 10 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 33 |
|  | Girls | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
|  | Total | 14 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 47 |
| 4 | Boys | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Boys | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
|  | Girls | 95 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
|  | Total | 202 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203 |
| 6 | Boys | 15 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
|  | Girls | 11 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
|  | Total | 26 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 231 |
| 7 | Boys | 0 | 15 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 119 |
|  | Girls | 1 | 19 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
|  | Total | 1 | 34 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| 8 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 6 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 7 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 13 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 |
| 9 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 |
| 10 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 204 |
| 11 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 106 | 0 | 113 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 93 | 0 | 104 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 199 | 0 | 217 |
| 12 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 106 | 112 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 89 | 94 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 195 | 206 |
| 13 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 41 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 69 |
| 14 | Boys | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Girls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 |

## Number of student in the official age


$\mathbf{I n}_{\mathrm{n}}$ primary enrollment, after disaggregating by age, male, female and the total. It reflect a true image of the enrollment data of Primary of those who suppose to be there in Primary and those who do not. The enrollment number of male and female is gradually increase by age from age 5 to age 7 and it decreases from age 7 to age 9 . From there it increases again and decrease from at age 13 to age 14 . The overall pattern of the number of students at the official age in Primary is fluctuating.
Table 6: Secondary Schools Enrolment by Age, Gender and Year Level, 2012



Secondary enrollment was disaggregated by age, gender [boys and Girls] and the total of boys and girls. About $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ of student in Secondary Schools are from the age of 13 to 17. From this $96 \%$,about $25 \%$ of them are from year 15 . This shows that many of the students in secondary are from the age of 15 . There are $\mathbf{4 \%}$ of the student are underage but they are already there in secondary.

Table 7: School Enrollment for all schools in Tuvalu, 2003-2012

| Year | ECCE |  |  |  | Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K1 | K2 | K3 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | VOC | Total |
| 2003 | 246 | 243 | 257 | 746 | 247 | 247 | 235 | 277 | 235 | 117 | 230 | 203 | 1916 | 144 | 150 | 167 | 118 | 0 | 579 |
| 2004 | 214 | 241 | 226 | 681 | 259 | 236 | 255 | 243 | 269 | 243 | 243 | 284 | 2032 | 195 | 180 | 175 | 79 | 0 | 629 |
| 2005 | 176 | 218 | 236 | 630 | 241 | 250 | 231 | 245 | 230 | 126 | 235 | 325 | 2010 | 154 | 114 | 104 | 84 | 0 | 456 |
| 2006 | 220 | 168 | 239 | 711 | 258 | 245 | 244 | 231 | 243 | 239 | 267 | 341 | 2068 | 155 | 115 | 105 | 85 | 0 | 460 |
| 2007 | 226 | 228 | 225 | 679 | 235 | 267 | 241 | 258 | 229 | 256 | 234 | 364 | 2084 | 157 | 110 | 97 | 89 | 0 | 453 |
| 2008 | 226 | 228 | 201 | 655 | 237 | 249 | 253 | 240 | 241 | 238 | 247 | 235 | 1940 | 140 | 112 | 106 | 95 | 0 | 535 |
| 2009 | 224 | 213 | 200 | 637 | 186 | 238 | 252 | 237 | 265 | 237 | 238 | 336 | 1989 | 135 | 133 | 162 | 118 | 0 | 548 |
| 2010 | 208 | 211 | 203 | 622 | 235 | 214 | 204 | 238 | 235 | 239 | 230 | 323 | 1918 | 118 | 122 | 134 | 117 | 0 | 491 |
| 2011 | 212 | 219 | 227 | 656 | 242 | 232 | 210 | 187 | 229 | 211 | 238 | 335 | 1884 | 112 | 116 | 105 | 115 | 0 | 448 |
| 2012 | 205 | 219 | 259 | 683 | 244 | 245 | 220 | 203 | 175 | 214 | 212 | 282 | 1795 | 117 | 124 | 81 | 117 | 45 | 484 |

School Enrolments for all PreSchools, 2003-2012


There was a consistent enrollment of students in the last nine years. Between grade levels of students in ECCE, it also tell us that there was consistent movement of students between schools. This is because those in K1 for that particular year gives us a close picture of that particular cohort in the following year as shown above.

School Enrolments for all Primary Schools, 2003-2012


Based from the above graph, the last nine years there is a balanced movement of students in spite of the fluctuation occurring from year to year. Only Year 8 is seems to be more fluctuate from year to year and this is because of the repeaters contribution to the enrollment in Primaries.


In the secondary enrollment, it seems that all the forms was consistent from 2003 to 2012. The
Vocational enrollment from 2003 to 2011 was zero because in those time there was no Vocational programs were running in the secondary schools. In 2012, the Vocational School was started and a few of them were enrolled in to Vocational programs.

Table 8: Percentage increase in ECCE enrollment, 2003-2012

| Year | ECCE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K1 | K2 | K3 | Total | Percentage <br> Increase (\%) |
| 2003 | 246 | 243 | 257 | $\mathbf{7 4 6}$ | 0 |
| 2004 | 214 | 241 | 226 | $\mathbf{6 8 1}$ | -10 |
| 2005 | 176 | 218 | 236 | $\mathbf{6 3 0}$ | -8 |
| 2006 | 220 | 168 | 239 | $\mathbf{7 1 1}$ | 11 |
| 2007 | 226 | 228 | 201 | $\mathbf{6 5 5}$ | -9 |
| 2008 | 226 | 228 | 201 | $\mathbf{6 5 5}$ | 0 |
| 2009 | 224 | 213 | 200 | $\mathbf{6 3 7}$ | -3 |
| 2010 | 208 | 211 | 203 | $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ | -2 |
| 2011 | 212 | 219 | 227 | $\mathbf{6 5 6}$ | 5 |
| 2012 | 205 | 219 | 259 | $\mathbf{6 8 3}$ | 4 |



In more details, the increase and decrease percentage of ECCE school enrollment. There is no relationship between from year to year and the increase percentage of students. The above line graph is clearly demonstrating a fluctuation in the number of student enrollment from year to year. This shows that a very small number of students in our country move out of the country or else.

Table 9: Percentage increase in Primary enrolment, 2003-2012

| Year | Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 5 | $6$ | 7 | 8 | Total | Percentage Increase (\%) |
| 2003 | 247 | 247 | 235 | 277 | 235 | 117 | 230 | 203 | 1916 | 0 |
| 2004 | 259 | 236 | 255 | 243 | 269 | 243 | 243 | 284 | 2032 | 6 |
| 2005 | 241 | 250 | 231 | 245 | 230 | 126 | 235 | 325 | 2010 | -1 |
| 2006 | 258 | 245 | 244 | 231 | 243 | 239 | 267 | 341 | 2068 | 3 |
| 2007 | 235 | 267 | 241 | 258 | 229 | 256 | 234 | 364 | 2084 | 1 |
| 2008 | 237 | 249 | 253 | 240 | 241 | 238 | 247 | 235 | 1940 | -7 |
| 2009 | 186 | 238 | 252 | 237 | 265 | 237 | 238 | 336 | 1989 | 2 |
| 2010 | 235 | 214 | 204 | 238 | 235 | 239 | 230 | 323 | 1918 | -4 |
| 2011 | 242 | 232 | 210 | 187 | 229 | 211 | 238 | 335 | 1884 | -2 |
| 2012 | 244 | 245 | 220 | 203 | 175 | 214 | 212 | 282 | 1795 | -5 |



From year to year there is no steady movement in primaries as shown from the above graph. From 2003 to 2004 there is an increase in the percentage of student enrolled in to Primaries. As it getting towards 2012 there was no positive percentage in the number of students enrollment in Primaries. The negative percentage mean, that the number of students in the previous year is bigger than the following year. The greater the negative percentage the greater the different in the enrollment number of students from year to year.

Table 10: Percentage increase in Secondary enrolment, 2003-2012

| Year | Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | VOC | Total | Percentage <br> Increase (\%) |  |
| 2003 | 144 | 150 | 167 | 118 | 0 | $\mathbf{5 7 9}$ | 0 |  |
| 2004 | 195 | 180 | 175 | 79 | 0 | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | 8 |  |
| 2005 | 154 | 114 | 104 | 84 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 5 6}$ | -38 |  |
| 2006 | 155 | 115 | 105 | 85 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ | 1 |  |
| 2007 | 157 | 110 | 97 | 89 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ | -2 |  |
| 2008 | 140 | 112 | 106 | 95 | 0 | $\mathbf{5 3 5}$ | 15 |  |
| 2009 | 135 | 133 | 162 | 118 | 0 | $\mathbf{5 4 8}$ | 2 |  |
| 2010 | 118 | 122 | 134 | 117 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 9 1}$ | -12 |  |
| 2011 | 112 | 116 | 105 | 115 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 4 8}$ | -10 |  |
| 2012 | 117 | 124 | 81 | 117 | 45 | $\mathbf{4 8 4}$ | 7 |  |



A steady movement as shown above in the last eight years. From 2010 to 2012 there is a big different in the increase percentage of students enrolled for secondary. This clearly shown that from year to year there always a different in the enrollment number of students and it can more than, it can be less than and this really affect our system in terms of the resources provided yearly.

## 7. TECAHERS ENROLLMENT

Table 11: National Teachers Enrollment, 2012

| ECCE |  | Primary |  | CTC |  | Secondary |  | VOC |  | Form 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 1 | 49 | 15 | 79 | 7 | 1 | 26 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| 50 |  | 94 |  | 8 |  | 43 |  | 1 |  | 8 |  |



Note: Teacher for Voc 2 seems to be only one, and this is because some of the teachers from the establishment take up some of the Vocational subjects in Form 4, Form 5 \& Form 6.

Most of the teachers in the establishment are Primary teachers. From the graph above, it really indicate that most of the teachers in different level of education are female teachers. Only a few were male, as shown above. In ECCE and Primary, it seems that female are more than the male teachers. But in Secondary, most of them are males teachers. There are only two teachers in VOC 2 and it does not mean that there was a sortage of teachers in VOC 2, some of the teachers in Secondary are also teach some of the subjects in VOC 2 and likewise in Primaries.

Table 12: National Teachers Class wise Enrollment, 2012

| Class | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | 1 | 22 | 23 |
| K2 | 1 | 25 | 26 |
| K3 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| Yr 1 | 1 | 15 | 16 |
| Yr 2 | 1 | 16 | 17 |
| Yr 3 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Yr 4 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| Yr 5 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Yr 6 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Yr 7 | 3 | 9 | 12 |
| Yr 8 | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| VOC 1 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| Form 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| Form 4 | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| VOC 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Form 5 | 14 | 5 | 19 |
| Form 6 | 10 | 3 | 13 |
| Form 7 | 5 | 3 | 8 |



Note: Please note that the above number of teachers is 261 but the number of all the teachers from ECCE to secondary schools are 204. The 261 shows the number of teachers that teach each classes in different level of education. Some of the teachers can teach more than 1 classes and that's causes the number of teachers to increase from 204 to 261.

From the above graph, we can see that some of the classes were no violet bar and it really indicates that there were no males teachers taking those classes in our primaries. Most of the teachers teaching in ECCE and primary were females and in Secondary most of the teachers were males.

## 8. TEACHERS QUALIFICATION

Table 13: Disagregation of Teachers by Qualification, 2012


Table 14: Government \& Local Government Sponsered

| Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Local Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \bigcup \\ & \text { પIT } \end{aligned}$ |  | 苞 |  | $\begin{aligned} & -i \\ & 0 \\ & > \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cup \\ & \cup \\ & \bigcirc \\ & > \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { B } \\ \text { R } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 蔦 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overleftarrow{U} \\ & 0 \\ & > \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \text { U } \\ & \bigcirc \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { En } \\ \text { R } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
|  |  | 77 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 94 |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 55 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |



Note: Total of 55 teacher in ECCE shows that all of them they partially sponsored by government and also local government. For VOC 2 shows no teacher and it becuase those teachers teaching VOC 2 are from the establishment of the Secondary School.

Table 15: Teacher Qualification Summary

| PhD | Master | Bachelor | Diploma | Certificate | Unknown <br> Qualification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | 38 | 64 | 42 | 57 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Teachers Qualification, 2012


Note: Unknown Qaulifify tecahers means, they did not gave their qualification details during the survey and they are classified as unknown qualification teachers. The Deaprtment will update TEMIS after collecting 2013 data about teachers.

Many of teachers in the Education System of Tuvalu from ECCE up to Secondary Level were holding Diploma qualification. Some are holding Certificate and some with bachelor certificate. A few of them are master holders but the rest are unregistered teachers.

## 9. SCHOOLS INDICATORS

Table 16: Pre Schools Teacher Pupil Ratio

| Pre Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Students | Teachers | TPR |
| AOG Pre School | 107 | 6 | 17.83 |
| Vaiaku Pre School | 52 | 10 | 5.20 |
| Suesue Memorial Pre School | 55 | 3 | 18.33 |
| Funałfuti Pre School | 17 | 1 | 17.00 |
| Olave Orkey Pre School | 29 | 3 | 9.67 |
| Fakaifou Pre School | 48 | 3 | 16.00 |
| Grace Pre School | 19 | 3 | 6.33 |
| Lofeagai Pre School | 31 | 5 | 6.20 |
| Niulakita Pre School | 18 | 2 | 9.00 |
| Ulukoloa Pre School | 35 | 4 | 8.75 |
| Vaimele Pre School | 51 | 6 | 8.50 |
| Lasagafou Pre School | 9 | 4 | 2.25 |
| Punavai Pre School | 32 | 4 | 8.00 |
| Nukufetau Pre School | 3 | 2 | 1.50 |
| Nanumaga Pre School | 49 | 4 | 12.25 |
| Niutao Pre School | 40 | 12 | 3.33 |
| Afaga o Maumau Pre School | 47 | 5 | 9.40 |
| Hologa o Kautama Pre School | 49 | 4 | 12.25 |

Table 17: Primary Schools Teacher Pupil Ratio

| Primary Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Students | Teachers | TPR |
| Faikimua Primary School | 69 | 11 | 6.27 |
| Kaumaile Primary School | 114 | 8 | 14.25 |
| Lotohoni Primary School | 109 | 8 | 13.63 |
| Nauti Primary School | 750 | 31 | 24.19 |
| Seventh Day Adventist Primary School | 162 | 8 | 20.25 |
| Tolise Primary School | 223 | 13 | 17.15 |
| Tutasi Primary School | 132 | 12 | 11.00 |
| Vaipuna Primary School | 142 | 8 | 17.75 |
| Webley Primary School | 136 | 9 | 13.60 |
| Lotoalofa Primary School | 3 | 2 | 1.50 |



The percentage of Government primary schools meeting and beyond the national students teacher ratio of $25: 1$ was $100 \%$. One of the school from the above list was given a TPR that is above the National standard for Teacher Pupil Ratio.

Table 18: Secondary Schools Teacher Pupil Ratio

| Secondary Schools |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Students | Teachers | TPR |
| Motufoua Secondary School | 570 | 55 | 10.36 |
| Fetuvalu Secondary School | 164 | 12 | 13.67 |



Table 19: Net \& Gross Enrollment Rate

| Net Enrollment Rate (\%): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ECCE | Primary | CTC | Secondary | VOC | Form 7 |
| Boys | 83.06 | 100.13 | 5.29 | 42.35 | 4.47 | 37.38 |
| Girls | 74.91 | 91.26 | 2.43 | 67.84 | 4.52 | 74.47 |
| Overall | 79.11 | 95.44 | 3.94 | 54.68 | 4.50 | 56.78 |
| Gross Enrollment Rate (\%): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys | 119.93 | 109.21 | 5.84 | 60.00 | 5.56 | 32.04 |
| Girls | 106.36 | 115.54 | 2.84 | 86.68 | 5.28 | 56.38 |
| Overall | 113.36 | 112.19 | 4.42 | 72.90 | 5.47 | 43.65 |

Net Enrollment Rate



The Net \& Gross Enrollment Rate shows that the participation rate of students in the system is quite good. Indication shows by Gross Enrollment and Net Enrollment from all the levels that some of the students are participating in each level are not at the right age of those particular level to be participate. This gives a good participation rate in the system is very high.

Table 20: Net \& Gross Intake Rate

| Net Intake Rate(\%): |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Primary |  |
| Boys | 58.00 |  |
| Girls | 53.19 |  |
| Overall | 55.67 |  |
| Gross Intake Rate(\%): |  |  |



These Indicators only tells us that the participation rate and access of student with ECCE experiences to Class 1 in primary is very high given the Net Intake Rate 55.67 and Gross Intake Rate 83.51

Note: Net \& Gross Intake Rate only corresponds to the Primary Level i.e Class 1new entrants only!
Table 21: Completion Rate

| Education Level | Students Enrolled <br> in Last Grade | Graduation Age <br> Population | Completion Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 282 | 210 | $134.29 \%$ |
| Secondary | 153 | 197 | $77.66 \%$ |



The completion rate in Primary is very high compare to the completion rate in secondary. One of the reasons is that, in primary the students enter primary in year 6 and leave primary at year 13. In Secondary, a student may drop out due to their achievement in different exams they sit for and also the punishments ( Expulsion) given by the principal of the school.

## 10.CLASSROOMS

Table 22: Classroom Pupil Ratio

| School | No of Classroom | Roll | CPR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AOG Pre School | 1 | 29 | 29 |
| Vaiaku Pre School | 1 | 47 | 47 |
| Suesue Memorial Pre School | 1 | 50 | 50 |
| Funafuti Pre School | 1 | 88 | 88 |
| Olave Orkey Pre School | 1 | 18 | 18 |
| Fakaifou Pre School | 1 | 55 | 55 |
| Grace Pre School | 1 | 47 | 47 |
| Lofeagai Pre School | 1 | 17 | 17 |
| Niulakita Pre School | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Ulukoloa Pre School | 1 | 32 | 32 |
| Vaimele Pre School | 1 | 49 | 49 |
| Lasagafou Pre School | 1 | 7 | 7 |
| Punavai Pre School | 1 | 40 | 40 |
| Nukufetau Pre School | 1 | 47 | 47 |
| Niutao Pre School | 1 | 50 | 50 |
| Nanumaga Pre School | 1 | 35 | 35 |
| Afaga o maumau Pre School | 1 | 18 | 18 |
| Hologa o Kautama Pre School | 19 | 30 | 30 |
| Nauti Primary School | 4 | 751 | 39.5 |
| SDA Primary School | 1 | 162 | 40.5 |
| Lotoalofa Primary School | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| Faikimua Primary School | 8 | 69 | 8.6 |
| Tolise Primary School | 8 | 222 | 27.8 |
| Tutasi Primary School | 8 | 132 | 16.5 |
| Vaipuna Primary School | 8 | 142 | 17.8 |
| Webley Primary School | 8 | 136 | 17 |
| Lotohoni Primary School | 8 | 115 | 14.4 |
| Kaumaile Primary School | 22 | 109 | 13.6 |
| Motufoua Secondary School | 7 | 570 | 25.9 |
| Fetuvalu Primary School |  | 161 | 23 |




The Classroom Pupil Ratio shows that some of the schools in Primary need more classrooms in order to accomodate the number of pupils. Base from the analysis, there are two schools in the primary level accomodate 40 pupils in a classroom. One from these two school is a non government school which is SDA. The other school is Nauti Primary which is on the main land.

## 11. EXAMINATIONS



TUSTA was administered in 2005, 2006 and 2013 and it seems student achievement in Literacy in both Year $4 \&$ Year 6 to be very low. For Year 4, there is a slide decrease in the performance of the 2009 Year 4 cohort with the 2013 Year 4 cohort. But for Year 6, a small improvement in 2013 year 4 cohort. Comparing all these years, teachers need to put some more efforts, new teaching strategies in order improve the Literacy Satisfactory Achievement rate.

TUSTA Numeracy Result for Year 4 \& Year 6


In 2005at Year 4, there is a balanced comparison between those who achieved and those who did not achieved. But for Year 6, there is a need to improve as there are many students is still under the Critical Underachievement Level. In 2009 and 2013, a satisfactory achievement achieved by these two cohort especially Year 4 cohort of 2009. Year 6 of 2013 cohort has a slide decrease in their performance as compared to Year 6 of 2009 cohort. From all these results, pupils's learning need to improve through Teachers' Teaching, School Leadership and support provided from parents.

Table 23: National Year Eight Examination
National Year Eight Examination

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | \% School Pass Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% <br> School <br> Pass <br> Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% School Pass Rate | \% <br> School <br> Pass <br> Rate |
| Faikimua | 57 | 66 | 79 | 43 | 2 |  | 75 | 29 | 73 | 93.8 | 60 |
| Kaumaile | 30 | 18 |  | 11 | 23 | 41 | - 49 | 56 | 52 | 46.7 | 34 |
| Lotoalofa | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 100 | $100$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 40 |
| Lotohoni | 25 | 18 |  |  | $86$ | 30 | 44 | 19 | 58 | 77.8 | 42 |
| Nauti | 44 |  | 40 |  | 50 | 43 | 52 | 44 | 57 | 71.5 | 46 |
| SDA | 32 |  | 29 | 30 | 33 | 81 | 69 | 70 | 86 | 51.9 | 50 |
| Tolise | 23 | 20 |  | 28 | 39 | 32 | 36 | 73 | 78 | 100.0 | 44 |
| Tutasi | 41 | 9 | 31 | 6 | 8 | 24 | 9 | 21 | 27 | 69.4 | 24 |
| Vaipuna | 67 | 6 | 95 | 19 | 53 | 58 | 62 | 53 | 21 | 48.7 | 48 |
| Webley | 68 | 42 | 47 | 30 | 25 | 51 | 57 | 47 | 35 | 50.0 | 45 |




From 2003 to 2012, Lotoalofa did not participate in the National Year Eight Examination in some of the years within these ten years. But during the year they did sat for NYEE, Lotoalofa the smallest primary in Tuvalu managed to get all their students passed in those years. In 2012, Tolise pass all their students sat for NYEE and all the other schools also got their passing rate.


The average pass rate of all the schools from 2003 to 2012 are range from $20^{+}$to 60 . From this graph, Faikimua seems to be well performed over the years as indication stated that their passing rate from 2003 to 2012 was between 60 and upward. Tutasi and Kaumaile seems to be very low in their performance from 2003 to 2012.

Table 24: Tuvalu Junior Certificate


The overall pass rate of the students in the Tuvalu Junior Certificate Examination in 2011 was
$31 \%$. In 2012, they also got $31 \%$ of the students passed and this shows that there was no improvement over the two years. Within subjects achievment, Commercial Study got $54 \%$ of the students passed. In English and Maths, the student did not performed well where English got 29 and Maths got 14\% in 2011. There was an improvement in Maths and English in 2012 and also the other subjects compared to Science, Commerce and Basic Technology.

Table 25: Gender Analysis of Tuvalu Junior Certificate

| Subject | Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ENG | Boys | 5.4 | 6 |
|  | Girls | 30.6 | 23 |
| MATHS | Boys | 5.4 | 7 |
|  | Girls | 16.2 | 7 |
| SCI | Boys | 4.5 | 14 |
|  | Girls | 19.8 | 17 |
| SSCI | Boys | 0.9 | 6 |
|  | Girls | 13.5 | 8 |
| COMM | Boys | 5.4 | 17 |
|  | Girls | 27.0 | 37 |
| AGRI | Boys | 4.5 | 13 |
|  | Girls | 35.1 | 18 |
| HMEC | Boys | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | Girls | 25.0 | 4 |
| BT | Boys | 44.2 | 45 |
|  | Girls | 0.0 | 0 |
| Overall | Male | 4.5 | 12 |
|  | Female | 26.1 | 19 |



In contribution to the above result, boys are the ones who causes the result very unsatisfactory.
From the above graph, there are many messages it can tells us as we try to interpret it. Basic Technology got the higest number of students who performed well in 2011 and 2012. Social Science seems to be develop some new initiatives in order to increase student pass rate.

## 12. INDICATORS BY AVAILABILITY

| Indicator No. | Name of Indicator | Availability |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| 1 | Classroom Pupil Ratio | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 2 | Percentage of Underage pupils |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | Net Intake Rate | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 4 | Net Enrollment Rate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | Apparent Intake Rate | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 6 | Gross Enrollment Rate | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 7 | Teacher Pupil Ratio | $\checkmark$ | $V$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 8 | Repetition Rate |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 9 | Qualification of Teachers | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 10 | Attrition Rate |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 11 | Total Expenditure as percentage of GDP |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 12 | Total expenditure for education by school Level | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 13 | Adjusted Net Enrollment RAte |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 14 | Dropout Rate |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 15 | Survival Rate to Year 5 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 16 | Transistion Rate fro primary to secondary education |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 17 | Gender Parity Index |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 18 | Percenatge of Working mothers |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| $19$ | Percentage of student pass NYEE | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $20$ | Percentage of student pass TJC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |

## 13. INDICATORS BY SOURCES

| Indicator No. | Name of Indicator | Source |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Annual Survey | Statistic Office | Special <br> Survey |
| 1 | Classroom Pupil Ratio | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 2 | Percentage of Underage pupils | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 3 | Net Intake Rate | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | Net Enrollment Rate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 5 | Apparent Intake Rate |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 6 | Gross Enrollment Rate |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 7 | Teacher Pupil Ratio | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| 8 | Repetition Rate | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 9 | Qualification of Teachers | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 10 | Attrition Rate | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 11 | Total Expenditure as percentage of GDP |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 12 | Total expenditure for education by school Level |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 13 | Adjusted Net Enrollment RAte | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 14 | Dropout Rate | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 15 | Survival Rate to Year 5 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 16 | Transistion Rate fro primary to secondary education | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 17 | Gender Parity Index | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 18 | Percenatge of Working mothers | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| $19$ | Percentage of student pass NYEE |  |  |  |
| 20 | Percentage of student pass TJC |  |  |  |

## 17. INDICATORS BY RATIONALE

| Indicator <br> No. | Name of Indicator | Rationale |  |  |  | Particip ation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quality | Access | Equity | Manage ment |  |
| 1 | Classroom Pupil Ratio | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2 | Percentage of Underage pupils |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | Net Intake Rate |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | Net Enrollment Rate |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | Apparent Intake Rate |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 6 | Gross Enrollment Rate | - | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 7 | Teacher Pupil Ratio | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 8 | Repetition Rate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 9 | Qualification of Teachers |  | $\checkmark$ | , |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 10 | Attrition Rate |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 11 | Total Expenditure as percentage of |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 12 | Total expenditure for education by Level |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 13 | Adjusted Net Enrollment RAte |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 14 | Dropout Rate |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 15 | Survival Rate to Year 5 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 16 | Transistion Rate fro primary to sec education |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 17 | Gender Parity Index |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 18 | Percenatge of Working mothers | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 19 | Percentage of student pass NYEE | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 20 | Percentage of student pass TJC | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |

