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## Lexical statistics

Zipf 1949/1961, Baayen 2001, Evert 2004

- Statistical study of the distribution of types (words or other linguistic units) in texts
- remember the distinction between types and tokens?
- Different from other categorical data because of the extreme richness of types
- people often speak of Zipf's law in this context


## Basic terminology

- $N$ : sample / corpus size, number of tokens in the sample
- V: vocabulary size, number of distinct types in the sample
- $V_{m}$ : spectrum element $m$, number of types in the sample with frequency $m$ (i.e. exactly $m$ occurrences)
- $V_{1}$ : number of hapax legomena, types that occur only once in the sample (for hapaxes, \#types = \#tokens)
- A sample: a b b c a a b a
- $N=8, V=3, V_{1}=1$


## Rank / frequency profile

- The sample: c a a b c c a c d
- Frequency list ordered by decreasing frequency

| $t$ | $f$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| c | 4 |
| a | 3 |
| b | 1 |
| d | 1 |

## Rank / frequency profile

- The sample: c a a b c c a c d
- Frequency list ordered by decreasing frequency

| $t$ | $f$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| c | 4 |
| a | 3 |
| b | 1 |
| d | 1 |

- Rank / frequency profile: type labels instead of ranks:

| $r$ | $f$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 |

- Expresses type frequency as function of rank of a type


## Rank/frequency profile of Brown corpus



## Top and bottom ranks in the Brown corpus

| top frequencies |  |  | bottom frequencies |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}$ | word | rank range | $\boldsymbol{f}$ | randomly selected examples |
| 1 | 62642 | the | $7967-8522$ | 10 | recordings, undergone, privileges |
| 2 | 35971 | of | $8523-9236$ | 9 | Leonard, indulge, creativity |
| 3 | 27831 | and | $9237-10042$ | 8 | unnatural, Lolotte, authenticity |
| 4 | 25608 | to | $10043-11185$ | 7 | diffraction, Augusta, postpone |
| 5 | 21883 | a | $1186-12510$ | 6 | uniformly, throttle, agglutinin |
| 6 | 19474 | in | $12511-14369$ | 5 | Bud, Councilman, immoral |
| 7 | 10292 | that | $14370-16938$ | 4 | verification, gleamed, groin |
| 8 | 10026 | is | $16939-21076$ | 3 | Princes, nonspecifically, Arger |
| 9 | 9887 | was | $21077-28701$ | 2 | blitz, pertinence, arson |
| 10 | 8811 | for | $28702-53076$ | 1 | Salaries, Evensen, parentheses |

## Frequency spectrum

- The sample: ca a b c c a c d
- Frequency classes: 1 (b, d), 3 (a), 4 (c)
- Frequency spectrum:

| $m$ | $V_{m}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 |

## Frequency spectrum of Brown corpus



## Vocabulary growth curve

- The sample: a b b c a a b a
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- $N=1, V=1, V_{1}=1 \quad\left(V_{2}=0, \ldots\right)$
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## Vocabulary growth curve

- The sample: a b b c a a b a
- $N=1, V=1, V_{1}=1 \quad\left(V_{2}=0, \ldots\right)$
- $N=3, V=2, V_{1}=1 \quad\left(V_{2}=1, V_{3}=0, \ldots\right)$
- $N=5, V=3, V_{1}=1 \quad\left(V_{2}=2, V_{3}=0, \ldots\right)$
- $N=8, V=3, V_{1}=1 \quad\left(V_{2}=0, V_{3}=1, V_{4}=1, \ldots\right)$


## Vocabulary growth curve of Brown corpus

With $V_{1}$ growth in red (curve smoothed with binomial interpolation)
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## Typical frequency patterns

## Across text types \& languages



## Typical frequency patterns

The Italian prefix ri- in the la Repubblica corpus


## Is there a general law?

- Language after language, corpus after corpus, linguistic type after linguistic type, ... we observe the same "few giants, many dwarves" pattern
- Similarity of plots suggests that relation between rank and frequency could be captured by a general law


## Is there a general law?

- Language after language, corpus after corpus, linguistic type after linguistic type, ... we observe the same "few giants, many dwarves" pattern
- Similarity of plots suggests that relation between rank and frequency could be captured by a general law
- Nature of this relation becomes clearer if we plot $\log f$ as a function of $\log r$
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## Zipf's law

- Straight line in double-logarithmic space corresponds to power law for original variables
- This leads to Zipf's $(1949,1965)$ famous law:

$$
f(w)=\frac{C}{r(w)^{a}}
$$

## Zipf's law

- Straight line in double-logarithmic space corresponds to power law for original variables
- This leads to Zipf's $(1949,1965)$ famous law:

$$
f(w)=\frac{C}{r(w)^{a}}
$$

- With $a=1$ and $C=60,000$, Zipf's law predicts that:
- most frequent word occurs 60,000 times
- second most frequent word occurs 30,000 times
- third most frequent word occurs 20,000 times
- and there is a long tail of 80,000 words with frequencies between 1.5 and 0.5 occurrences(!)


## Zipf's law

- Zipf's power law:

$$
f(w)=\frac{C}{r(w)^{a}}
$$

- If we take logarithm of both sides, we obtain:

$$
\log f(w)=\log C-a \log r(w)
$$

- Zipf's law predicts that rank / frequency profiles are straight lines in double logarithmic space
- Best fit $a$ and $C$ can be found with least-squares method


## Zipf's law

Logarithmic version

- Zipf's power law:

$$
f(w)=\frac{C}{r(w)^{a}}
$$

- If we take logarithm of both sides, we obtain:

$$
\log f(w)=\log C-a \log r(w)
$$

- Zipf's law predicts that rank / frequency profiles are straight lines in double logarithmic space
- Best fit $a$ and $C$ can be found with least-squares method
- Provides intuitive interpretation of $a$ and $C$ :
- $a$ is slope determining how fast log frequency decreases
- $\log C$ is intercept, i.e., predicted log frequency of word with rank $1(\log$ rank 0$)=$ most frequent word


## Zipf's law

Fitting the Brown rank/frequency profile


## Zipf-Mandelbrot law

## Mandelbrot 1953

- Mandelbrot's extra parameter:

$$
f(w)=\frac{C}{(r(w)+b)^{a}}
$$

- Zipf's law is special case with $b=0$
- Assuming $a=1, C=60,000, b=1$ :
- For word with rank 1, Zipf's law predicts frequency of 60,000; Mandelbrot's variation predicts frequency of 30,000
- For word with rank 1,000, Zipf's law predicts frequency of 60; Mandelbrot's variation predicts frequency of 59.94
- Zipf-Mandelbrot law forms basis of statistical LNRE models
- ZM law derived mathematically as limiting distribution of vocabulary generated by a character-level Markov process


## Zipf-Mandelbrot vs. Zipf's law

Fitting the Brown rank/frequency profile
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## Applications of word frequency distributions

- Most important application: extrapolation of vocabulary size and frequency spectrum to larger sample sizes
- productivity (in morphology, syntax, ...)
- lexical richness
(in stylometry, language acquisition, clinical linguistics, ...)
- practical NLP (est. proportion of OOV words, typos, ...)
need method for predicting vocab. growth on unseen data


## Applications of word frequency distributions

- Most important application: extrapolation of vocabulary size and frequency spectrum to larger sample sizes
- productivity (in morphology, syntax, ...)
- lexical richness
(in stylometry, language acquisition, clinical linguistics, ...)
- practical NLP (est. proportion of OOV words, typos, ...)
need method for predicting vocab. growth on unseen data
- Direct applications of Zipf's law
- population model for Good-Turing smoothing
- realistic prior for Bayesian language modelling
need model of type probability distribution in the population


## Vocabulary growth: Pronouns vs. ri- in Italian

| $N$ | $V$ (pron.) | $V($ ri- $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5000 | 67 | 224 |
| 10000 | 69 | 271 |
| 15000 | 69 | 288 |
| 20000 | 70 | 300 |
| 25000 | 70 | 322 |
| 30000 | 71 | 347 |
| 35000 | 71 | 364 |
| 40000 | 71 | 377 |
| 45000 | 71 | 386 |
| 50000 | 71 | 400 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Vocabulary growth: Pronouns vs. ri- in Italian

 Vocabulary growth curves
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## LNRE models for word frequency distributions

- LNRE = large number of rare events (cf. Baayen 2001)
- Statistics: corpus = random sample from population
- population characterised by vocabulary of types $w_{k}$ with occurrence probabilities $\pi_{k}$
- not interested in specific types $\leadsto$ arrange by decreasing probability: $\pi_{1} \geq \pi_{2} \geq \pi_{3} \geq \cdots$
- NB: not necessarily identical to Zipf ranking in sample!


## LNRE models for word frequency distributions

- LNRE = large number of rare events (cf. Baayen 2001)
- Statistics: corpus = random sample from population
- population characterised by vocabulary of types $w_{k}$ with occurrence probabilities $\pi_{k}$
- not interested in specific types $\Rightarrow$ arrange by decreasing probability: $\pi_{1} \geq \pi_{2} \geq \pi_{3} \geq \cdots$
- NB: not necessarily identical to Zipf ranking in sample!
- LNRE model = population model for type probabilities, i.e. a function $k \mapsto \pi_{k}$ (with small number of parameters)
- type probabilities $\pi_{k}$ cannot be estimated reliably from a corpus, but parameters of LNRE model can


## Examples of population models






## The Zipf-Mandelbrot law as a population model

What is the right family of models for lexical frequency distributions?

- We have already seen that the Zipf-Mandelbrot law captures the distribution of observed frequencies very well


## The Zipf-Mandelbrot law as a population model

What is the right family of models for lexical frequency distributions?

- We have already seen that the Zipf-Mandelbrot law captures the distribution of observed frequencies very well
- Re-phrase the law for type probabilities:

$$
\pi_{k}:=\frac{C}{(k+b)^{a}}
$$

- Two free parameters: $a>1$ and $b \geq 0$
- $C$ is not a parameter but a normalization constant, needed to ensure that $\sum_{k} \pi_{k}=1$
- this is the Zipf-Mandelbrot population model
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## The parameters of the Zipf-Mandelbrot model






The parameters of the Zipf-Mandelbrot model





## The finite Zipf-Mandelbrot model

- Zipf-Mandelbrot population model characterizes an infinite type population: there is no upper bound on $k$, and the type probabilities $\pi_{k}$ can become arbitrarily small
- $\pi=10^{-6}$ (once every million words), $\pi=10^{-9}$ (once every billion words), $\pi=10^{-12}$ (once on the entire Internet), $\pi=10^{-100}$ (once in the universe?)


## The finite Zipf-Mandelbrot model

- Zipf-Mandelbrot population model characterizes an infinite type population: there is no upper bound on $k$, and the type probabilities $\pi_{k}$ can become arbitrarily small
- $\pi=10^{-6}$ (once every million words), $\pi=10^{-9}$ (once every billion words), $\pi=10^{-12}$ (once on the entire Internet), $\pi=10^{-100}$ (once in the universe?)
- Alternative: finite (but often very large) number of types in the population
- We call this the population vocabulary size $S$ (and write $S=\infty$ for an infinite type population)


## The finite Zipf-Mandelbrot model

- The finite Zipf-Mandelbrot model simply stops after the first $S$ types ( $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{S}$ )
- $S$ becomes a new parameter of the model
$\rightarrow$ the finite Zipf-Mandelbrot model has 3 parameters
Abbreviations:
- ZM for Zipf-Mandelbrot model
- fZM for finite Zipf-Mandelbrot model
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## Sampling from a population model

Assume we believe that the population we are interested in can be described by a Zipf-Mandelbrot model:



Use computer simulation to sample from this model:

- Draw $N$ tokens from the population such that in each step, type $w_{k}$ has probability $\pi_{k}$ to be picked
- This allows us to make predictions for samples (= corpora) of arbitrary size $N \Rightarrow$ extrapolation


## Sampling from a population model

$$
\text { \#1: } \left.\begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& 1 & 42 & 34 & 23 & 108 & 18 & 48 & 18 & 1
\end{array}\right) \ldots
$$

## Sampling from a population model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \#1: } \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
1 & 42 & 34 & 23 & 108 & 18 & 48 & 18 & 1 \\
\text { time order room school town course area course time } & \ldots \\
\ldots
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sampling from a population model



## Sampling from a population model



| \#2: | 286 | 28 | 23 | 36 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| \#3: | 2 | 11 | 105 | 21 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 16 | $\ldots$ |

## Sampling from a population model



| \#2: | 286 | 28 | 23 | 36 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 8 | $\ldots$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#3: | 2 | 11 | 105 | 21 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 16 | $\ldots$ |
| \#4: | 44 | 3 | 110 | 34 | 223 | 2 | 25 | 20 | 28 | $\ldots$ |
| \#5: | 24 | 81 | 54 | 11 | 8 | 61 | 1 | 31 | 35 | $\ldots$ |
| \#6: | 3 | 65 | 9 | 165 | 5 | 42 | 16 | 20 | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| \#7: | 10 | 21 | 11 | 60 | 164 | 54 | 18 | 16 | 203 | $\ldots$ |
| \#8: | 11 | 7 | 147 | 5 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 85 | 37 | $\ldots$ |

## Samples: type frequency list \& spectrum

| rank $r$ | $f_{r}$ | type $k$ | $m$ | $V_{m}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3 | 6 | 1 | 83 |
| 2 | 36 | 1 | 2 | 22 |
| 3 | 33 | 3 | 3 | 20 |
| 4 | 31 | 7 | 4 | 12 |
| 5 | 31 | 10 | 5 | 10 |
| 6 | 30 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| 7 | 28 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| 8 | 27 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| 9 | 24 | 4 | 9 | 3 |
| 10 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 3 |
| 11 | 23 | 8 | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 12 | 22 | 14 |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | sample \#1 |  |

## Samples: type frequency list \& spectrum

| rank $r$ | $f_{r}$ | type $k$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 39 | 2 |
| 2 | 34 | 3 |
| 3 | 30 | 5 |
| 4 | 29 | 10 |
| 5 | 28 | 8 |
| 6 | 26 | 1 |
| 7 | 25 | 13 |
| 8 | 24 | 7 |
| 9 | 23 | 6 |
| 10 | 23 | 11 |
| 11 | 20 | 4 |
| 12 | 19 | 17 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |


| $m$ | $V_{m}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 76 |
| 2 | 27 |
| 3 | 17 |
| 4 | 10 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 5 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 3 |
| 10 | 4 |
| 11 | 2 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| sample \#2 |  |

## Random variation in type-frequency lists






## Random variation: frequency spectrum


m


m


## Random variation: vocabulary growth curve
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## Expected values

- There is no reason why we should choose a particular sample to make a prediction for the real data - each one is equally likely or unlikely
- Take the average over a large number of samples, called expected value or expectation in statistics
- Notation: $\mathrm{E}[V(N)]$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[V_{m}(N)\right]$
- indicates that we are referring to expected values for a sample of size $N$
- rather than to the specific values $V$ and $V_{m}$ observed in a particular sample or a real-world data set
- Expected values can be calculated efficiently without generating thousands of random samples


## The expected frequency spectrum



m



## The expected vocabulary growth curve




## Confidence intervals for the expected VGC
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## Parameter estimation by trial \& error


m


N
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## Parameter estimation by trial \& error
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## Parameter estimation by trial \& error


m


N

## Automatic parameter estimation

Minimisation of suitable cost function for frequency spectrum

m


N

- By trial \& error we found $a=2.0$ and $b=550$
- Automatic estimation procedure: $a=2.39$ and $b=1968$
- Goodness-of-fit: $p \approx 0$ (multivariate chi-squared test)
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LNRE modelling in a nutshell:
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## Summary

LNRE modelling in a nutshell:

1. compile observed frequency spectrum (and vocabulary growth curves) for a given corpus or data set
2. estimate parameters of LNRE model by matching observed and expected frequency spectrum
3. evaluate goodness-of-fit on spectrum (Baayen 2001) or by testing extrapolation accuracy (Baroni \& Evert 2007)

- in principle, you should only go on if model gives a plausible explanation of the observed data!


## Summary

LNRE modelling in a nutshell:

1. compile observed frequency spectrum (and vocabulary growth curves) for a given corpus or data set
2. estimate parameters of LNRE model by matching observed and expected frequency spectrum
3. evaluate goodness-of-fit on spectrum (Baayen 2001) or by testing extrapolation accuracy (Baroni \& Evert 2007)

- in principle, you should only go on if model gives a plausible explanation of the observed data!

4. use LNRE model to compute expected frequency spectrum for arbitrary sample sizes $\Rightarrow$ extrapolation of vocabulary growth curve

- or use population model directly as Bayesian prior etc.
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## zipfR

- http://purl.org/stefan.evert/zipfR
- Already installed on the Potsdam machines
- Explore your GUI for general package installation and managing options


## Loading

library(zipfR)
?zipfR
data(package="zipfR")

## Importing data

```
data(ItaRi.spc)
data(ItaRi.emp.vgc)
my.spc <- read.spc("my.spc.txt")
my.vgc <- read.vgc("my.vgc.txt")
my.tfl <- read.tfl("my.tfl.txt")
my.spc <- tfl2spc(my.tfl)
```


## Looking at spectra

```
summary(ItaRi.spc)
ItaRi.spc
N(ItaRi.spc)
V(ItaRi.spc)
Vm(ItaRi.spc,1)
Vm(ItaRi.spc,1:5)
# Baayen's P
Vm(ItaRi.spc,1) / N(ItaRi.spc)
plot(ItaRi.spc)
plot(ItaRi.spc, log="x")
```


## Looking at vgcs

summary (ItaRi.emp.vgc)<br>ItaRi.emp.vgc<br>N(ItaRi.emp.vgc)

plot(ItaRi.emp.vgc, add.m=1)

## Creating vgcs with binomial interpolation

\# interpolated vgc

```
ItaRi.bin.vgc <- vgc.interp(ItaRi.spc,
N(ItaRi.emp.vgc), m.max=1)
```

summary(ItaRi.bin.vgc)
\# comparison
plot(ItaRi.emp.vgc, ItaRi.bin.vgc, legend=c("observed","interpolated"))

- Load the spectrum and empirical vgc of the rarer prefix ultra-
- Compute binomially interpolated vgc for ultra-
- Plot the binomially interpolated ri- and ultra- vges together


## Estimating LNRE models

\# fZM model; you can also try ZM and \# GIGP, and compare

ItaUltra.fzm <- lnre("fzm", ItaUltra.spc)
summary(ItaUltra.fzm)

## Observed/expected spectra at estimation size

\# expected spectrum
ItaUltra.fzm.spc <- lnre.spc(ItaUltra.fzm, N(ItaUltra.fzm))
\# compare
plot(ItaUltra.spc, ItaUltra.fzm.spc, legend=c("observed","fzm"))
\# plot first 10 elements only

```
plot(ItaUltra.spc, ItaUltra.fzm.spc,
legend=c("observed","fzm"),
m.max=10)
```


## Compare growth of two categories

\# extrapolation of ultra- V to ri- sample size
ItaUltra.ext.vgc <- lnre.vgc(ItaUltra.fzm, N(ItaRi.emp.vgc))
\# compare
plot(ItaUltra.ext.vgc, ItaRi.bin.vgc,
NO=N(ItaUltra.fzm), legend=c("ultra-","ri-"))
\# zooming in

```
plot(ItaUltra.ext.vgc, ItaRi.bin.vgc,
NO=N(ItaUltra.fzm), legend=c("ultra-","ri-"),
xlim=c(0,1e+5))
```

